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| **10A: Two Site Plan Options Facilitator Guide** |
| Goal: | * Gather community’s feedback to two proposed site plan options.
* Gather enough feedback from participants to serve as a guideline to generate a preliminary design proposal.
* Evaluate how well the project is integrating design elements of successful TOD projects, as discussed in previous sessions.
 |
| Time Allotted: | * Total time: 30 minutes
 | * Present site plan options: 5 minutes
* Small group discussion: 15 minutes
* Report back: 10 minutes
 |
| Materials: | * Slideshow of two site plan options.
* Drawing set of the two proposed site plan options. One set per table.
* Tables and chairs for small groups
* Markers for small groups and notepad and pencils for notetaker.
 |
| Framework for Activity: | * Present two proposed site plan options to the larger group or in the small groups depending on the needs of your participants.
* Each group will discuss the site plan options based on two of the Successful TOD Design Elements, as discussed in the previous session.
* Small groups report back highlights of their discussion to the larger group.
 |
| Time/Description | Activity | Facilitator/ Presenter |
| Activity: | * Facilitator describes (either to the larger group or in small groups) the two site plan options, the pros and cons of each and how participation is influencing the design.
* In small groups, facilitator leads discussion of the site plan options based on two of the “Successful TOD Design Elements” discussed in the previous session (Human Scale, Identifiable, Variety and Complexity, Connections between spaces, Multi-functional, Security).
* Invite groups to mark up the drawings with their notes or comments.
* Ask each group to select a presenter to share highlights of their discussion
* Reconvene large group to share the highlights of group discussions.
* Facilitator recaps and thanks audience for their feedback.
 |  |
| Guiding Questions: | * Which site plan option best represent the goals of participants?
* Do you feel there could be a third “hybrid” option? What would it look like?

**Key Questions:** **Group 1 (Human Scale and Identifiable)*** How would you feel walking around the proposed building?
* How can the green space and roof terraces help foster community?
* A design goal is to be part of the neighborhood yet be a unique community to live in; How do the proposed schemes start to achieve this or not?

**Group 2 (Variety and Complexity, and Connections between Spaces)*** The design wants to include as many units as possible without being monolithic. How do the proposed schemes achieve this, in your opinion?
* The commercial space serves as a connection with neighbors and Metro commuters. How do the proposed schemes start to achieve this or not?

**Group 3 (Multi-functional and Security)*** Which of the green space schemes lends itself better to everyday interaction as well as community events? Why?
* Which of the schemes is secure? Which one relies more on security monitoring technology and which one on natural surveillance? Why?
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